Time to measure Insulin! Endogenous insulin secretion as a guide to therapeutic decisions

Andrew Hattersley Peninsula Medical School, Exeter

email: Andrew.Hattersley@pms.ac.uk

www.diabetesgenes.org

Is Diabetes really Endocrinology?

End	docrinology	Diabetes
Diagnosis	+++	-
Treatment	+	+++
Patient centered	?	+++
MDT	?	+++
Science based	+++	+
Trial based	+	+++
Needs clever Drs!	+++	?
Measure hormone	+++	_

60

Mr 33

33 yr UK Caucasian Factory Worker Thirsty drinking Coca Cola Slightly dehydrated BMI 33 kg/m² Glucose 33 mmol/l Ketones +

Treated with 33U Mixtard bd

1 year latter HbA1c 9.2%

Diagnosis? Treatment?

Is Classification important in diabetes?

NICE

Clear guidelines for treating type 1 diabetes

Clear guidelines for treating type 2 diabetes

No criteria on diagnosis of Type 1 / Type 2 No mention of other subtypes of diabetes What happens if you have the classification wrong?

Protocol led care Target led care

Both protocols and the targets are good but not for everyone....

Especially if have wrong protocol!

Classification matters because it impacts on treatment



Mr 33 Uno

33 yr UK Caucasian Factory Worker Thirsty drinking Coca Cola Slightly dehydrated BMI 33 kg/m² Glucose 33 mmol/l Ketones +

Treated with 33U Mixtard bd

1 year latter HbA1c 9.2%

Diagnosis T1D Treatment: Basal bolus Insulin ?Metformin?Insulin pump DAFNE



Mr 33 Duo

33 yr UK Caucasian Factory Worker Thirsty drinking Coca Cola Slightly dehydrated BMI 33 kg/m² Glucose 33 mmol/l Ketones +

Treated with 33U Mixtard bd

1 year latter HbA1c 9.2%

Diagnosis T2D Treatment: Diet. Metformin ? Intermediate insulin ? GLP1 agonists ?Pio Type 2 education

The Classification of diabetes is Aetiological

WHO & ADA in late 90's based classification on aetiology T1D v T2D replacing IDDM v NIDDM

- **Type 1** destructive process of the beta-cell (usually autoimmune) leading to total insulin deficiency
- Type 2 is diabetes where there is no known aetiology

Other aetiological subtypes recognised

- Genetic defects of beta-cell function
- Genetic defects in insulin action
- Disease of the Exocrine pancreas
- Endocrinopathies
- Drug- or chemical induced
- Infective
- Uncommon forms of autoimmune diabetes
- Other genetic syndromes sometimes a/w diabetes

The Classification of diabetes is not based on defined Clinical Criteria

Broad guidance only

No guidelines on specific clinical criteria

Why are there are no Clinical Criteria for Classifying diabetes

Too easy: not needed

Too difficult: not possible

Not needed: make no difference

In the absence of criteria diagnosis is very variable

UK QUAF data 2008

Type 1: Prevalence 4 – 44% in GP practices Suggests Type 1 often incorrectly diagnosed

Insulin Treated T2D often called "T1D"

Other subtypes: not recorded

Aren't there simple Clinical Criteria for Diagnosis?

- Diabetes characteristics age of diagnosis - young T1D diagnosis: DKA, T1D....
- Non-pancreatic manifestations obesity T2D
- Family History who is affected, their diabetes, other features

Yes but
T2D < 18yr
50% DKA T2D

T1D 15% obese

families mixed T1 & T2

RCGP Diagnostic Guidelines

Needs to include all diagnostic categories but be simple Broad categories and include unknown/ uncertain.

Diagnosis by probability

Like evidence based treatment it will be correct in a majority but not all cases. Should be guide not absolute.

Limited to available data

Autoantibodies, C peptide, not routinely available Other data not recorded on database – family history Which data is easily available?

Age diagnosis, treatment and expert opinion

- should these be modified by BMI and ethnic origin?

Use Treatment in Algorithm?

Advantage: reflects clinical decision Disadvantage: that decision may be wrong

Draft RCGP practical diagnostic guidelines



What investigations are there?



Auto-antibodies: especially at diagnosis

C peptide: latter > honeymoon –

Other tests: lipids,

specific aetiological genetic tests specific tests secondary diabetes

Beta-cell auto-antibodies

Which ones?

When?

What mean?

Autoantibodies at diagnosis Less common in adults



Sabbah et al Diabetes Care 2000;23:1326-1332

Majority with T1 DM are Ab + at diagnosis most likely to detect if multiple antibodies tested

Children and adolescents (n=252)

Adults (n=100)



Sabbah et al Diabetes Care 2000;23:1326-1332

Beta-cell auto-antibodies

Which ones?

- ICA rat bad, human better but not available
- GAD titre matters
- IA2, Insulin (pre-treat,) difficult outside research IA2 Available in Exeter

When?

What mean?

ICA falls after diagnosis but GADA/IA2 persist



Borg et al Acta Paediatr 2000;89:46-51

Beta-cell auto-antibodies

Which ones?

- ICA rat bad, human better but not available
- GAD titre matters
- IA2 , Insulin (pre- treat,) difficult outside research IA2 Available in Exeter

When?

- At diagnosis best
- 5% AA -ve develop on retesting at 6/12
- GAD and IA2 most persistent

What mean?

What do Beta-cell auto-antibodies mean?

- False positive rate low if correct cutoff (1-2.5%) False negative rate – 10-20% AA -ve (all tested at diagnosis)
- Can say aetiology if positive
- Can not say aetiology if negative
- Can not use to guide treatment does not say when insulin dependency will occur

Is it time for the Insulin Endocrinologists to measure their hormone?

C-peptide cleaved from proinsulin during insulin production



Insulin Dependent – absent C peptide = Type 1



ADA Guidelines Diabetes Care, 2011

How can we measure endogenous beta-cell function in people on insulin?

C peptide the only way

Measurement is critical for classification and more importantly for best treatment

Measurement of C peptide in insulin treated patients

Conventional tests measure plasma C peptide Advice to separate immediately and freeze as unstable. Makes inconvenient clinically and not possible in general practice

Most tests stimulate endogenous insulin secretion

Gold standard – max concentration post mixed meal (BOOST) or post glucagon after missing short acting insulin dose

C-peptide positive in T1D

Definition

Stimulated >0.20nmol/l Fasting >0.08nmol/l 200pmol/l 80pmol/l

Derivation

DCCT 1991: 1,441 subjects, 1-15y duration

Stimulated C-peptide >0.2 nmol/l 'responders'

- lower fasting glucose (9.8 v 12.3 mmol/l)
- lower HbA1c (8.4% v 9.2%)
- Less retinopathy and less microalbuminuria
- Less severe hypos

Natural decline in C-peptide in T1D

Depends on:

- Correct diagnosis
- Time from diagnosis
- Age of diagnosis
- DKA at diagnosis

T1D diagnosed <18y, 97% have stimulated C-peptide response <0.2nmol/l 5yr post diagnosis



Adolescents (N = 1304)

T1D diagnosed >18y, 92% have stimulated C-peptide response <0.2nmol/l 5y post diagnosis

Adults (N = 2432)



Making C-peptide measurement easier

Urinary C peptide Creatinine Ratio

- 10% C peptide excreted in Urine
- Urine measures practical and easy
- Stable at room temp for 72 hours
- Using creatinine ratio accounts for dilution
- Can be posted



Urine C-peptide creatinine ratio (UCPCR) may be an alternative

- Stable at room temp in boric acid for 72 hours
- Stable no preservative 24 hours



A home postprandial UCPCR is a non-invasive alternative in routine practice



Can define Sensitive and Specific UCPCR equivalent of serum C pep 0.2 nmol/l



Besser et al Diabetes Care 2011

UCP/Cr ratio allows differentiation of Type 1 from other subgroups >5yrs post diagnosis



Besser et al, Diabetes Care 2011

Urinary C peptide Creatinine Ratio

NHS test through Royal Devon and Exeter Biochemistry lab

£10 / test

Find using Assayfinder

www. diabetesgenes.org

Can be posted



Does measuring C peptide make any Difference to Treatment?

Miss D

Age diagnosis	50 yr
Present Age	69yr
BMI	26
Initial Treatment	OHA for 2 years then insulin
Present Treatment	Metformin 1g bd Insulatard 22/-/16/-
Clinical Problem	Variability in glucose – problem with hypos HbA1c 8.9%

Do Type 2 patients ever become C peptide negative?

174 insulin-treated subjects T2D on clinical criteria - diagnosed <u>></u>45 years (median 58yrs IQR 50-65) - started insulin >12 m (median 72 m, IQR 36-123) post-diagnosis

Tested with UCPCR and then MMTT 5 (3%) C Peptide negative 2/5 Antibody (GAD positive)

Major problems: hypos and inappropriate treatment

Oakes, Hattersley et al unpublished

Miss D2

Age diagnosis	42
Present Age	46
BMI	34
Initial Glucose and Treatment	Glucose 24 ketones + Insulin
Present Treatment	Novomix 30 31/ - /20/-
Clinical Problem	Weight gain HbA1c 8.9%

Mrs M

Age diagnosis	24
Present Age	40
ВМІ	26
Initial Treatment	Insulin
Present Treatment	Novorapid 4/2/6/-
	Insulatard -/-/-/10
Clinical Problem	None HbA1c 6.8%

UCP/Cr ratio allows differentiation of Type 1 from other subgroups >5yrs post diagnosis



Besser et al, Diabetes Care 2011

GAD65 and IA2 antibody prevalence in recently diag. T1D (n=99) & MODY (n=508)



IA2 and/or GAD antibodies (99th cent > 50) make MODY very unlikely

McDonald et al, Diabetic Medicine 2011 in press

Mr H

Age diagnosis	19
Present Age	22
BMI	25
Initial Glucose and Treatment	Glucose 21
	Basal bolus insulin
Present Treatment	Novorapid 4/4/4/-
	Glargine -/-/-/20
Clinical Problem	HbA1c 6.9%
	No hypos
	Occasional monitoring

T1D diagnosed >18y, 92% have stimulated C-peptide response <0.2nmol/l 5y post diagnosis

Adults (N = 2432)



Mr G

Age diagnosis	52
Present Age	62
BMI	36
Initial Treatment	Diet
Present Treatment	Metformin 1g bd
	Gliclazide 160g bd
	Insulatard 20u bd
Clinical Problem	HbA1c 9.5%
	Poor control
	Next Step?

Can Endogenous Insulin Secretion Measurement predict treatment response to 3rd line agents in T2D

Prospective study of all 3rd line agents in SW (Angus Jones, Andrew Hattersley)

Prospective study of Liragulatide response in some ABCD Centres (Ken Thong, Bob Ryder)

Planned National Study of Super responders to all third line treatment (Jones, Hattersley)

When it helps to measure C-peptide?

- 1. To detect/confirm severe insulin resistance especially when non obese (typically fasting)
- 2. To assess if patient on insulin is making their own insulin
 - Assessment of T1D honeymoon
 - Diagnosis Long duration type 1 C-peptide low differentiate Type 2/MODY
 - Guidance on other therapy if high C-peptide insulin sensitisers have greater impact
- 3. To assess if type 2 with variable glucose values who has gone on insulin is still producing own insulin
- 4. **?Treatment response to third line agents in T2D**

Interpretation of measurement of UCPCR is needed

Need to interpret results carefully Glycaemia alters interpretation

UCPCR overlap between T1D and non diabetic controls

Needs Experts

lf we n Diabet	neasure our l es is Endocr	normone inology?
End	locrinology	Diabetes
Diagnosis	+++	+++
Treatment	+	+++
Patient centered	?	+++
MDT	?	+++
Science based	+++	++
Trial based	+	+++
Needs clever Drs!	+++	+++

Measure hormone +++ ++

Urinary C peptide Creatinine Ratio

NHS test through Royal Devon and Exeter Biochemistry lab

£10 / test

Find using Assayfinder

www. diabetesgenes.org

Can be posted

