

ABCD liraglutide audit – the higher the baseline HbA1c the bigger the fall

Table 3 Median HbA_{1c} change, proportion of patients achieving HbA_{1c} reduction of $\geq 1\%$ and proportion of patients achieving target HbA_{1c} of 7% among patients treated with liraglutide in the ABCD audit; results stratified by baseline HbA_{1c} and use of insulin.

| | Baseline HbA _{1c} (%) | | | | | | | P value |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| | 7.0-7.9 | 8.0-8.9 | 9.0-9.9 | 10.0-10.9 | 11.0-11.9 | 12.0-12.9 | 13.0-13.9 | |
| Non-insulin-treated | | | | | | | | |
| n | 91 | 158 | 161 | 106 | 60 | 35 | 11 | |
| Median HbA _{1c} change, (%) | -0.7 [-1.1,-0.1] | -1.1 [-1.7,-0.5] | -1.4 [-2.2,-0.4] | -1.9 [-3.2,-0.9] | -2.6 [-3.9,-1.6] | -3.1 [-1.3,-4.5] | -2.0 [-0.3,-4.9] | < 0.001 |
| Proportion achieving $\geq 1\%$ reduction, n(%) | 30 (33.0) | 95 (60.1) | 103 (64.0) | 77 (72.6) | 51 (85.0) | 28 (80.0) | 8 (72.7) | < 0.001 |
| Proportion achieving HbA _{1c} of 7%, n(%) | 50 (55.0) | 58 (36.7) | 35 (21.7) | 25 (23.6) | 11 (18.3) | 4 (11.4) | 1 (9.1) | < 0.001 |
| Insulin-treated | | | | | | | | |
| n | 73 | 124 | 156 | 98 | 61 | 35 | 10 | |
| Median HbA _{1c} change, (%) | -0.2 [-0.7,0.4] | -0.5 [-1.2,0.3] | -1.1 [-2.0,-0.2] | -1.3 [-2.6,-0.5] | -1.3 [-2.5,-0.5] | -1.8 [-3.4,-0.6] | -3.6 [-4.7,-1.6] | < 0.001 |
| Proportion achieving $\geq 1\%$ reduction, n(%) | 11 (15.1) | 41 (33.1) | 82 (52.6) | 61 (62.2) | 36 (59.0) | 24 (68.6) | 9 (90.0) | < 0.001 |
| Proportion achieving HbA _{1c} of 7%, n(%) | 28 (38.4) | 18 (14.5) | 21 (13.5) | 8 (8.2) | 3 (4.9) | 1 (2.9) | 2 (20.0) | < 0.001 |

Median HbA_{1c} change results are shown as median [interquartile range]

Results show patients are more likely to achieve $\geq 1\%$ HbA_{1c} reduction when baseline HbA_{1c} is higher and conversely more likely to achieve target HbA_{1c} of 7% if baseline HbA_{1c} is lower.