Cerebrovascular disease and diabetes



UNIVERSITY of GLASGOW

Matthew Walters Head of the School of Medicine University of Glasgow

European City of Culture 1989





Why discuss stroke?

- # 1 cause of disability
- 20-30% of stroke patients die within a month
- # 3 cause of death (12%)
- 130,000 per year in UK
- Approx £3 billion direct NHS costs annually
- Almost as much as diabetes...
- 7% NHS beds
- Reverse the historical perception of stroke...



Diabetes and the burden of stroke

- Effect on stroke risk
- Effect on stroke outcome
- Therapeutic considerations

Diabetes and stroke risk

- Diabetes accounts for 10% of population risk of stroke, and about half of the stroke risk in an individual
- Diabetes *more than doubles* an individual's risk of ischaemic stroke correcting for other factors



Slide No. 6 • •

Lancet 2010;375:2215-2222

Diabetes and stroke risk

	Number of partici- pants	Number of cases	HR (95% CI)		Interaction p value
Sex					
Male	168 191	2193		2.16 (1.84-2.52)	0.0089
Female	125 571	1606		2.83 (2.35-3.40)	
Age at survey					
40-59 years	234 263	1729		3.74 (3.06-4.58)	0.0001
60-69 years	38 140	1134		2.06 (1.64-2.58)	
≥70 years	21 359	936	_	1.80 (1.42-2.27)	
BMI*					
Bottom third	110044	1149	- _	1.90 (1.50-2.40)	0.0001
Middle third	97 478	1163		2.28 (1.85-2.80)	
Top third	86 240	1487		2.90 (2.49-3.37)	
Systolic blood					
Bottom third	113 199	711		3.06 (2.33-4.01)	0.7275
Middle third	106 966	1217	_ -	2.79 (2.23-3.49)	
Top third	73 597	1871		2.49 (2.02-3.07)	
		1	2 4	6	

Diabetes on stroke units

- 20% prevalence in acute cerebrovascular trials
- True prevalence probably approaches 30%
- Suggestion that small vessel stroke over-represented
- Longer average length of stay in almost all studies



Stroke 2010;41:e409-e417

Ambulatory status at discharge



Slide No. 9 • •

Delayed stroke recovery



10 year survival after stroke

	Model with SSS score ($R^2 = 22.4\%$)		
	Odds ratio	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Hypertension	0.75	0.51	1.09
Ischemic heart disease	0.82	0.53	1.28
Previous stroke	0.49	0.30	0.80
Other disabling diseases	0.59	0.37	0.92
Daily alcohol consumption	1.39	0.92	2.11
Diabetes	0.50	0.30	0.82
Daily smoking	0.52	0.35	0.78
Atrial fibrillation	0.46	0.26	0.81
Stroke subtype	0.34	0.16	0.72

Diabetes and stroke

- Patients with diabetes are:
 - More likely to have a stroke
 - Less likely to do well afterwards

Why?

Mediators of endothelial damage in diabetes



Vascular dysfunction in diabetes

- Extensively studied in the forearm and other human vascular beds
- The cerebral vasculature behaves very differently...
- ...but it's harder to study







Carotid blood flow after NOS inhibition



Blunted response to L-NMMA in diabetic subjects, suggesting reduced cerebral NO bioavailability

Diabetes and stroke

- Patients with diabetes are:
 - More likely to have a stroke
 - Less likely to do well afterwards
- Pathophysiology complex and incompletely understood: chronic vascular dysfunction is likely to be an important factor

What are the practical therapeutic implications?

Treatment of stroke in the diabetic population

- Thrombolytic therapy
- Glycaemic control
- Blood pressure reduction

Thrombolysis

The proven acute pharmacological strategy for ischaemic stroke



Recipients at least **30%** more likely to have little or no disability *in RCTs*

Meta-analysis of IV rt-PA trials



Difference/1000:

141 extra alive and independent130 fewer dependent survivors

(P<0.01) (P<0.01)

NNT in context

- RCTs: relatively large treatment effect
- Compares favourably with:
 - Other stroke therapies
 - Established coronary interventions



Theoretical concern over lysis of diabetic / hyperglycaemic stroke patients



Admission hyperglycaemia is associated with poor outcome and increased risk for symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage



Ahmed et al. 2010. Archives of Neurology 67:1123-30

Effect of thrombolysis: SITS and VISTA





Outcomes in diabetic tpa recipients are equivalent to untreated non-diabetic patients

Neurology 2011;77:1866-1872

Effect of thrombolysis: SITS and VISTA

Analyses type	Forest Plot	OR (95%CI)	Ν
Unadjusted		1.7 (1.6, 1.8),	23453
Age and baseline severity adjusted	-	1.6 (1.5, 1.7)	23246
Favorable outcome	-	1.9 (1.8, 2.1)	23246
Excellent outcome	-	1.6 (1.5, 1.7)	23246
Survival	-	1.2 (1.1, 1.3)	23246
All adjusted	-	1.6 (1.5, 1.8)	19621
Unadjusted		1.3 (1.2, 1.4)	5411
Age and baseline severity adjusted		1.4 (1.3, 1.6)	5354
Favorable outcome		1.8 (1.5, 2.0)	5354
Excellent outcome		1.6 (1.4, 1.8)	5354
Survival		1.1 (0.9, 1.2)	5354
All adjusted		1.6 (1.3, 1.8)	4322
	Analyses type Unadjusted Age and baseline severity adjusted Favorable outcome Excellent outcome Survival All adjusted Age and baseline severity adjusted Favorable outcome Survival All adjusted Favorable outcome Excellent outcome Survival Age and baseline severity adjusted Favorable outcome Excellent outcome Survival All adjusted	Analyses typeForest PlotUnadjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedFavorable outcomeImage and baseline severity adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedAll adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedKape and baseline severity adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedAge and baseline severity adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedAll adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedAll adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedAll adjustedImage and baseline severity adjustedImage and baseline severity adjusted	Analyses typeForest PlotOR (95%Cl)UnadjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedFavorable outcomeImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedSurvivalImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedUnadjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedAge and baseline severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedFavorable outcomeImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedSurvivalImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedAll adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedAll adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedAll adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedAll adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adjustedImage: constraint of the severity adju

Thrombolytic therapy

- Magnitude of benefit comparable in patients with and without diabetes
- Aggressive acute provision of lytic therapy in patients with diabetes is warranted





ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A Randomized Trial of Intraarterial Treatment for Acute Ischemic Stroke

Olvert A. Berkhemer, M.D., Puck S.S. Fransen, M.D., Debbie Beumer, M.D., Lucie A. van den Berg, M.D., Hester F. Lingsma, Ph.D., Albert J. Yoo, M.D., Wouter J. Schonewille, M.D., Jan Albert Vos, M.D., Ph.D., Paul J. Nederkoorn, M.D., Ph.D., Marieke J.H. Wermer, M.D., Ph.D., Marianne A.A. van Walderveen, M.D., Ph.D., Julie Staals, M.D., Ph.D., et al., for the MR CLEAN Investigators*



January 1, 2015 N Engl J Med 2015; 372:11-20 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1411587

Endovascular thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials

	n		cOR (95% CI)
Age (years) (p _{interaction} =0	0.07)		
18-49	158 —	+ •	1.36 (0.75–2.46)
50-59	218		2.85 (1.72–4.72)
60–69	333		2.58 (1.49–4.48)
70–79	371		2.41 (1.55–3.74)
18–79	1080		2.44 (1.70–3.50)
≥80	198		3.68 (1.95–6.92)
ASPECTS (p _{interaction} = 0.2	9)		
0–5	121 —		1.24 (0.62–2.49)
6–8	475		2·34 (1·68–3·26)
9–10	682		2.66 (1.61–4.40)
Alteplase (p _{interaction} =0.4	43)		
Yes	1090		2.45 (1.68–3.57)
No	188		2.43 (1.30–4.55)
Stroke location (p _{interact}	_{on} =0.17)		
ICA	274	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.96 (1.65–9.48)
M1	887		2·29 (1·73–3·04)
M2	94	+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.28 (0.51–3.21)
NIHSS score (p _{interaction} =	0·45)		
≤10	177 —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.67 (0.80–3.50)
11–15	307		2.68 (1.39–5.19)
16–20	473		2.81 (1.80–4.38)
≥21	321	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.52 (1.40–4.54)
Onset to randomisatio	$n(p_{interaction} = 0.10)$		
≤300 min	1070		2.66 (1.83–3.87)
>300 min	208		1.76 (1.05–2.97)
Sex ($p_{interaction} = 0.34$)			
Male	676		2.54 (1.92–3.36)
Female	601	<u>x</u>	2·38 (1·46–3·88)
Tandem lesion (p _{interaction}	_n =0·17)		
Yes	122		2.95 (1.38–6.32)
No	1132		2.35 (1.68–3.28)
Total	1278		2.49 (1.76-3.53)
	0.5	1 2 10	
	Favours cont	rol Favours intervention	

"Benefits are seen across a wide range of age and initial stroke severity, and apply to patients irrespective of eligibility of IV alteplase"

Lancet 2016; 387: 1723-31

Published Online February 18, 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(16)00163-X

Treatment of hyperglycaemia

- Present in about 45% of acute stroke patients
- Arguably the most common and treatable abnormality
- Hyperglycaemia causes:
 - Increased substrate for anaerobic glycolysis
 - Increased cortical lactate concentration
 - And is associated with worse outcomes

Short term survival after stroke



BMJ. 1997 May 3; 314(7090): 1303-1306

Hyperglycaemia after stroke

- Intervention with insulin:
 - May reverse this
 - Neuromodulatory effect independent of glycaemia?
 - Seems to work when coronary arteries get blocked...

Glycaemic control post MI

□ control ■ insulin



Intervention in stroke with GKI – effect on glycaemia and BP



GIST -outcome

GKI



Lancet Neurology 2010;6:397-406

GIST trial

Underpowered

- 933 of a proposed 2355 patients recruited

Similar glycaemic profiles between groups

 Absolute reduction in glucose of 0.57 mmol/L induced by insulin infusion. (*cf* 2.1 mmol/L in DIGAMI)

Mild hyperglycaemia

 Small proportion with baseline blood sugar concentrations >10 mmol/L

In the absence of evidence...

- Guidelines vary:
 - RCP
 - "Maintain euglycaemia"
 - EUSI
 - "IV insulin if glucose > 10mmol/L"
 - AHA
 - "Insufficient evidence to guide management"

Blood pressure control: PROGRESS

- 6105 stroke survivors
- Any type of stroke
- ACEI + Diuretic vs placebo
- 4 year follow up



PROGRESS: overall results

Placebo Active



PROGRESS: effect on BP





BP reduction and outcomes

- Benefits at least as great in diabetic subgroup
- Subgroups:
 - Recurrent stroke
 - Diabetes
 - No diabetes
 - Any vascular event
 - Diabetes
 - No diabetes



Blood pressure reduction

- Diuretic plus ACEI mainstay of preventative therapy post stroke for all patients
- Used even in those with "normal" blood pressure
- Benefit at least as great in diabetic population
- Consistent message from many other prevention trials

Summary

- Diabetic patients are very prone to cerebrovascular disease
- Stroke hits diabetic patients harder
- Current acute strategies limited:
 - Thrombolysis works
 - Tight glycaemic control of uncertain benefit
- Secondary prevention strategies:
 - BP lowering particularly effective

